EXHIBIT 2

1	RICHARD GOETZ (S.B. #115666)			
2	rgoetz@omm.com AMY LAURENDEAU (S.B. #198321)			
3	alaurendeau@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP			
4	400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, California 90071-2899			
5	Telephone: (213) 430-6000 Facsimile: (213) 430-6407			
6	Attorneys for Defendant AMYLIN PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC			
7				
8		DISTRICT COURT		
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	IN RE INCRETIN-BASED THERAPIES PRODUCTS	Case No. 13md2452-AJB (MDD)		
12	LIABILITY LITIGATION	MDL 2452		
13	As to All Related and Member Cases	Magistrate: Hon. Mitchell D. Dembin Judge: Hon. Anthony J. Battaglia		
14				
15	PROPOUNDING PARTY: Plaintiffs			
16	RESPONDING PARTY: Defendant A	mylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC		
17	SET Plaintiffs' Ge Propounded of	neral Causation Requests to Produce – on April 8, 2014		
18	-	•		
19				
20	DEFENDANT AMYLIN PHARMACI	EUTICALS, LLC'S RESPONSES AND		
21	OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' G	ENERAL CAUSATION REQUESTS		
22	FOR THE PRODUCT	ION OF DOCUMENTS		
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		AMYLIN'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' GENERAL CAUSATION		
- 1	1			

REQUESTS TO PRODUCE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Pursuant to Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, defendant Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC ("Amylin") hereby responds and objects to Plaintiffs' General Causation Requests to Produce propounded on April 8, 2014

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

All references to "Byetta®" within Amylin's responses and objections refer to the twice-daily injectable prescription medication that was first approved by the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") as safe and effective on April 28, 2005. Except as otherwise stated, Amylin has not undertaken to provide information about any pharmaceutical product other than Byetta®, so defined, as Plaintiffs' claims in this litigation, as against Amylin, pertain exclusively to Byetta®.

All references to "exenatide" (also known as "exendin-4") within Amylin's responses and objections refer to the 39-amino acid synthetic peptide that was originally identified in the lizard *Heloderma suspectum* and is the active ingredient in Byetta®.

Amylin has responded and objected to Plaintiffs' Requests in conformity with the Court's orders regarding the permissible scope of discovery in the current phase. All references to "general causation" within Amylin's responses and objections refer to the question of whether Byetta® can cause pancreatic cancer, as defined in prior orders of the Court. *See* 2/18/2014 Initial Case Management Scheduling Order Regarding General Causation (Doc. No. 325); 3/25/2014 Order on Joint Motion for Determination of Disputes Related to the Scope of the Written Discovery Related to General Causation (Doc. No. 377) ("3/25/2014 Order"). As stated by the Court in its March 7, 2014 order: "The data end-point or 'cull point' has been set at February 28, 2014." 3/07/2014 Amended Order Following Second Case Management Conference ("3/07/2014 Order") (Doc. No. 349) at 1:20-22.

Amylin's responses and objections are based on the information and documents currently known to Amylin and currently in Amylin's possession, custody, or control. Because Amylin has not concluded its investigation or

discovery of the facts surrounding each Request for Production, and because Amylin is continuing to complete general causation discovery under the Court's orders, Amylin reserves the right to supplement, amend, or correct its responses and objections as necessary.

Amylin has made diligent and reasonable efforts to respond to each and every Request for Production, to the extent it has not been objected to, as Amylin understands and interprets the Request. If Plaintiffs subsequently assert an interpretation of a Request that differs from that of Amylin, Amylin reserves the right to supplement its objections and responses.

Consistent with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 34, Amylin has not undertaken to identify information (a) in the possession, custody or control of Plaintiffs; (b) publicly available; or (c) equally available and/or as readily accessible to Plaintiffs as to Amylin.

Amylin construes Plaintiffs' Requests not to seek privileged information; information prepared in anticipation of litigation, constituting attorney work product, or disclosing mental impressions, conclusions, opinions or legal theories of any attorney or other representative of Amylin; information containing privileged attorney-client communications; or information that is otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable privileges, laws, or rules, including, but not limited to, the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint defense and common interest privileges, or similar privileges or protections. Amylin specifically objects to any purported obligation to search for or produced privileged information. Any disclosure of such protected or privileged information in response to any Request is inadvertent and is not intended to be, and shall not operate as, a waiver of any privileges or protections applicable to that or any other Request, nor is such inadvertent disclosure or production intended to be, nor shall it constitute a waiver of the right to object to any use of such response, document, or information contained therein.

Amylin does not in any way adopt Plaintiffs' purported instructions or definitions of words and phrases contained in Plaintiffs' Requests and reserves the right to object to them to the extent they are inconsistent with the ordinary and customary meaning of such words and phrases. Amylin objects to Plaintiffs' purported definitions to the extent they purport to impose any obligations broader than, or inconsistent with, applicable discovery rules or common law.

Amylin further reserves its rights to withhold information that is confidential, proprietary or trade secret information, and Amylin asserts that any response or production pursuant to these Requests will be subject to applicable protective orders.

Amylin further reserves its rights to withhold from disclosure information that, if disclosed, would unduly and improperly invade the protected privacy rights of Amylin and/or third-party non-litigants.

Except as otherwise stated, Amylin construes each and every Request so as not to seek information relating to foreign entities or countries outside of the United States. Such information is irrelevant to the claims and defenses of the parties in this case and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence as the events in this action occurred in the United States. Amylin will produce its regulatory files for the European Medicines Agency ("EMA"), but only under the unique and specific facts of this case – namely, that Amylin has noted the EMA's July 2013 conclusion that Byetta® and other incretin-based therapies do not cause pancreatic cancer, as well as the February 2014 statement jointly authored by the EMA and the United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") that rejects the hypothesized associations between pancreatic cancer and Byetta® and other incretin therapies that underlie Plaintiffs' claims. Amylin continues to maintain that regulatory filings with foreign agencies are irrelevant to product liability actions in the United States.

Amylin further states that the production of any electronically stored

- 3 -

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

information will be made according to the parties' agreed upon specifications, and that any instructions or directions calling for a different manner or format of production will be disregarded. Consistent with the Court's January 9, 2014 Order on Discovery Dispute Regarding Protocols for Electronically Stored Information (Doc. # 257), Amylin will disregard any request for a format conversion of materials contained in the documents already produced by Amylin and Lilly in this litigation, unless Plaintiffs first meet and confer and advance all conversion costs.

Amylin preserves (a) the right to raise in any subsequent proceeding or in the trial of this or any other action all questions of authenticity, foundation, relevancy, materiality, privilege, and evidentiary admissibility of any information or document provided or identified in these responses; (b) the right to object on any ground, whether or not identified herein, to the use or introduction into evidence of any information or document in any subsequent proceeding or in the trial of this or any other action; and (c) the right to object on any ground at any time to additional discovery.

SPECIFIC RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS

REQUEST NO. 1:

The DOCUMENTS identified in YOUR answers to Plaintiffs' General Causation Interrogatories to Defendant Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 1:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin incorporates by reference all objections it has lodged to Plaintiffs' General Causation Interrogatories, propounded on April 8, 2014. Amylin objects to this Request to the extent it refers to publicly available information that is equally available to Plaintiffs.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin has produced or will produce the documents referenced in its Interrogatory responses, subject to Amylin's objections to those Interrogatories.

27

REQUEST NO. 2:

The IND/NDA and any SNDAs for BYETTA in native electronic searchable format as maintained by YOU.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 2:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and duplicative of other document requests, including to the extent it seeks production in "native electronic searchable format." Amylin further objects to this Request's demand for "native format" files. Production format will be governed by formatting specifications that the parties agreed upon. Consistent with the Court's January 9, 2014 Order on Discovery Dispute Regarding Protocols for Electronically Stored Information (Doc. # 257) ("January 9, 2014 Order"), Amylin further objects to this Request to the extent it calls for a format conversion of materials contained in the documents already produced by Amylin and Lilly in this litigation, unless Plaintiffs first meet and confer and advance all conversion costs.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: The Byetta® IND/NDA covering the period before December 28, 2009 was produced to Plaintiffs in this litigation on December 21, 2012 (Bates numbers BY00000001-BY00449028) and is updated in Amylin's concurrent production in the format agreed upon by the Parties. As of the date of this response, Amylin will have produced all of the IND and NDA files regarding exenatide in accordance with the production specifications set forth in the Parties' agree-upon Electronically Stored Information ("ESI") Protocol.

Notwithstanding the Parties' agreed-upon ESI Protocol, and even though Amylin is under no obligation to do so, Amylin will additionally agree to produce .SAS data contained in exenatide IND and NDA files in either native .XPT format (for datasets that do not require redaction) or in Microsoft Excel format (for datasets that require redaction). Along with the supplemental IND and NDA

production that Amylin makes today are all of the .SAS datasets (either in native .XPT format or in Microsoft Excel format) that are associated with the IND and NDA submission and correspondence materials contained today's supplemental production. Although Amylin is under no obligation to reformat information contained in its December 21, 2012 IND and NDA unless Plaintiffs first agree to bear the cost of the re-production, Amylin will agree to produce .SAS datasets associated with the submission and correspondence materials contained in its December 21, 2012 IND and NDA production in the same format as the .SAS datasets it is producing today. **REQUEST NO. 3:** All other correspondence, data and other DOCUMENTS that YOU provided to or received from the FDA related to the safety of BYETTA with respect to pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer, which are not part of the IND/NDA or any SNDAs for BYETTA. **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 3:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery admissible evidence insofar as it seeks correspondence, data and documents "related to the safety of BYETTA with respect to pancreatitis." The Court's March 25, 2014 order limited discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and *pancreatic cancer*." 3/25/2014 Order (Doc. No. 377) at 3:6-7 (emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to the general causation question concerning pancreatic cancer, and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin will conduct a reasonable search for any responsive documents in

its possession, custody or control. Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in that search that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs.

REQUEST NO. 4:

Corporate organization charts that identify the persons with supervisory responsibility over scientific research into the safety of BYETTA and those working at their direction; the persons responsible for determining whether BYETTA causes and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer and those working at their direction; the persons in charge of compiling and reporting pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer ADVERSE EVENTS for BYETTA and those working at their direction; and the persons in charge of maintaining the source DOCUMENTS for pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer ADVERSE EVENTS for BYETTA and those working at their direction.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 4:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, including without limitation its terms "persons responsible for determining whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to the organizational charts produced on December 21, 2012 (AMYLN00000001-AMYLN00000180) and on February 7, 2014 (AMYLN03839770-AMYLN03839776). Amylin will conduct a reasonable search for any additional responsive documents in its possession, custody or control. Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in that search that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs.

- 7 -

REQUEST NO. 5:

A complete list of all BYETTA preclinical, nonclinical and/or animal studies performed, completed, designed, planned and/or contemplated, identifying them by name, number or any other designation YOU use to identify them.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 5:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it constitutes an Interrogatory, not a Request for Production, and is duplicative of Plaintiffs' General Causation Interrogatory No. 2 Amylin refers Plaintiffs to its response and objections to General Causation Interrogatory No. 2, which are incorporated by reference as if set forth fully here.

REQUEST NO. 6:

For each BYETTA preclinical, nonclinical and/or animal study performed, completed, designed, planned and/or contemplated, produce the following:

- a. The protocols; data; researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results; reports; and pancreatic specimens (e.g. histology slides, tissue samples, etc.) for that study;
- b. The database(s) where the above information can be located; and
- c. If an independent investigator, contract research organization, or other third party was involved in the study, produce all documents relating to the work performed, including but not limited to contracts and communications between YOU and said independent investigator, contract research organization, or other third party.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 6:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome and oppressive, as the burden of collecting all the specified materials for "each preclinical, nonclinical, and/or animal study involving" Byetta® would far outweigh the likely benefit to be achieved.

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it requests information about preclinical, nonclinical, and animal studies, such as Chemistry, Manufacturing and Control ("CMC") studies, that do not contain data relevant to general causation. Amylin notes that CMC studies are particularly irrelevant because no plaintiff in this litigation has alleged injuries arising from the use of Byetta® that did not meet manufacturing specifications, and because the Protective Order specifically permits the redaction of "manufacturing methods or processes, including quality control procedures, and proprietary formulas." *See* 6/03/2013 Protective Order ("Protective Order") (Doc. 32) ¶ 2.e.3. CMC studies are a quality control procedure related to manufacturing.

Amylin further objects to this Request as overbroad and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it requests information about studies that have been merely "designed, planned and/or contemplated." The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence* . . . with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added). By agreement of the parties, the Court ordered February 28, 2014 as the cut-off date for such evidence. 3/07/2014 Order at 1:20-22. Documents related to studies that were not completed by February 28, 2014 are not within the scope of permissible general causation discovery.

Amylin further objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its use of the terms "researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results" and "planned and/or contemplated." Amylin further objects to this Request to the extent it requests the production of physical "databases." Amylin further objects to this Request to the extent it requests "contracts and communications" between Amylin and independent investigators, contract research organizations, and other

third parties as such materials are not "actual scientific evidence." The Court's March 25, 2014 order expressly stated that "consulting agreements" and "third party contracts" would not be discoverable in this phase (3/25/2014 Order at 2:24-28), and Amylin objects to conducting a search for communications with and documents relating to third-party researchers.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Protocols, final reports and results from preclinical, nonclinical and animal studies provided to the FDA are included in the Byetta® IND/NDA that was produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012 and in Amylin's concurrent supplemental production of the Byetta® IND/NDA. Amylin refers Plaintiffs, without limitation, to the following sections of the previously produced Byetta® IND/NDA:

- Section 2.4 of the Byetta® NDA ("Nonclinical Overview"), at BY0000152092-BY0000152128;
- Section 2.6 of the Byetta® NDA ("Nonclinical Written or Tabulated Summaries"), at BY0000152173-BY0000152484;
- Section 2.7.6 of the Byetta® NDA ("Synopsis of Individual Studies"), at BY0000152852-BY0000152886, BY0000356143-BY0000356144;
- The "Integrated Summary of Safety Data" in the Byetta® NDA, at BY0000290801-BY0000294919, BY0000342847-BY0000343056; and
- Module 4 of the Byetta® NDA ("Nonclinical Study Reports"), largely at BY153089-BY170102.

Amylin further responds that the table of preclinical, nonclinical and animal studies attached as Exhibit A includes Bates ranges for study protocols and reports.

Amylin further responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for additional preclinical, nonclinical and animal study protocols, reports and results in its possession, custody or control. Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents

- 10 -

1	for
2	su
3	ap
4	Ar
5	Fe
6	Ar
7	for
8	co
9	do
10	res

found in that search that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Amylin will search for materials related to studies that were not completed by February 28, 2014 only to the extent such materials are otherwise responsive. Amylin further responds that it will produce documents relating to work performed for preclinical, nonclinical and animal studies by independent investigators, contract research organizations, and other third parties only to the extent such documents are found in reasonable searches of custodial files for otherwise responsive documents.

Amylin further responds that it is willing to meet and confer with Plaintiffs' counsel over a protocol that would allow Plaintiffs to identify and inspect responsive materials in addition to reports, protocols and results for specifically designated studies that are not otherwise subject to an objection as such materials are kept in the usual course of business at Plaintiffs' own expense and in a manner that is coordinated with any inspection conducted by Plaintiffs in the California state-court JCCP Proceeding.

REQUEST NO. 7:

The standard operating procedures and/or policy and procedures manuals for BYETTA preclinical, nonclinical and animal studies.

RESOPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 7:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request to the extent it requests "standard operating procedures and/or policy and procedures manuals" for CMC studies, as CMC studies are unrelated to general causation. Amylin further objects to the Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "policy and procedure manuals."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin will conduct a reasonable search for any responsive documents in

its possession, custody, or control and will produce nonprivileged documents found in that search that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs.

REQUEST NO. 8:

Every DOCUMENT that addresses the significance of any preclinical, nonclinical and/or animal study in relation to whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 8:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome, to the extent it requests "[e]very DOCUMENT," such that the likely benefits production are outweighed by the burdens of production. Amylin further objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents outside Amylin's custody or control and documents that are publicly and equally available to Plaintiffs. Amylin further objects to this request to the extent it seeks information protected by the Attorney Work Product or Attorney-Client Privilege. Amylin further objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its terms "addresses the significance" and "is capable of CAUSING."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to the Byetta® IND/NDA previously produced in this litigation, specifically those sections cited by Bates number in response to Request No. 6, and its concurrently produced updated Byetta® IND/NDA.

Amylin also refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files previously produced by Amylin on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and to Amylin's forthcoming production of custodial files collected using search terms agreed upon with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this

Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs.

REQUEST NO. 9:

The memoranda, reports and other similar DOCUMENTS that describe the nature and intended purpose of any preclinical, nonclinical and/or animal studies involving BYETTA that are not yet started or completed and, to the extent such DOCUMENTS exist, the protocols; data; researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results; reports; and pancreatic specimens (e.g. histology slides, tissue samples, etc.) for each such preclinical, nonclinical and/or animal study.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 9:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of evidence admissible on the question of general causation because preclinical, nonclinical and animal studies that have not been started or completed do not include data relevant to general causation. The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence* . . . with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added). By agreement of the parties, the Court ordered February 28, 2014 as the cut-off date for such evidence. 3/07/2014 Order at 1:20-22. Documents related to studies that were not completed by February 28, 2014 are not within the scope of permissible general causation discovery.

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it requests information about preclinical, nonclinical, and animal studies, such as CMC studies, that do not contain data relevant to general causation. Amylin notes that CMC studies are particularly irrelevant because no plaintiff in this litigation has

alleged injuries arising from the use of Byetta® that did not meet manufacturing specifications, and because the Protective Order specifically permits the redaction of "manufacturing methods or processes, including quality control procedures, and proprietary formulas." *See* Protective Order ¶ 2.e.3. CMC studies are a quality control procedure related to manufacturing.

Amylin further objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous including but not limited to its requests for information about the "nature" of studies.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: The Byetta® IND/NDA produced to Plaintiffs on December 12, 2012 and the updated Byetta® IND/NDA concurrently produced include protocols for preclinical, nonclinical and animal studies that may not be completed. Amylin refers Plaintiffs, without limitation, to the sections of the previously produced IND/NDA cited in Amylin's response to Request No. 6. Amylin further responds that it will produce materials related to studies that were not completed by February 28, 2014 only to the extent such materials are in its prior productions and/or are otherwise responsive.

REQUEST NO. 10:

A complete list of all BYETTA human studies performed, completed, designed, planned and/or contemplated, identifying them by name, number or any other designation YOU use to identify them.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 10:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it constitutes an Interrogatory, not a Request for Production and is duplicative of Plaintiffs' General Causation Interrogatory No. 2 Amylin refers Plaintiffs to its response and objections to General Causation Interrogatory No. 2, which are incorporated by reference as if set forth fully here.

REQUEST NO. 11:

For each BYETTA human study performed, completed, designed, planned

AMYLIN'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS'

GENERAL CAUSATION REQUESTS TO

PRODUCE

- a. The protocols; data; researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results; reports; and pancreatic specimens (e.g. histology slides, tissue samples, etc.) for that study;
- b. The database(s) where the above information can be located;
- c. All documentation and/or communication regarding sponsorship of the study; and
- d. If an independent investigator, contract research organization, or other third party was involved in the study, produce all documents relating to the work performed, including but not limited to contracts and communications between YOU and said independent investigator, contract research organization, or other third party.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 11:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome and oppressive, as the burden of collecting all the specified materials for "each BYETTA human study performed, completed, designed, planned and/or contemplated," would far outweigh the likely benefit to be achieved.

Amylin further objects to this Request as overbroad and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it requests information about studies that have been merely "designed, planned and/or contemplated." The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence* . . . with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added). By agreement of the parties, the Court ordered February 28, 2014 as the cut-off date for such evidence. 3/07/2014 Order at 1:20-22. Studies that were not completed by February 28, 2014 are not within the scope of permissible general causation

discovery.

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Amylin further objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, including 2 but not limited to its use of the terms "researcher and/or laboratory technician 3 notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results" and 4 "planned and/or contemplated." Amylin further objects to this Request to the 5 extent it requests the production of physical "databases." Amylin further objects to 6 this Request to the extent it requests "contracts and communications" between 7 Amylin and independent investigators, contract research organizations, and other 8 9 third parties as such materials are not "actual scientific evidence." The Court's March 25, 2014 order expressly stated that "consulting agreements" and "third 10 party contracts" would not be discoverable in this phase (3/25/2014 Order at 2:24-11 28) and Amylin objects to conducting a search for communications with and 12 documents relating to third-party researchers. 13

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Protocols, final reports and results from human studies are included in the Byetta® IND/NDA that was produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012 and Amylin's concurrent production of the updated Byetta® IND/NDA. Amylin refers Plaintiffs, without limitation, to the following sections of the previously produced Byetta® IND/NDA:

- Section 2.5 of the Byetta® NDA ("Clinical Overview"), at BY0000152129-BY0000152172, BY0000356071-BY0000356091;
- Section 2.7.2 of the Byetta® NDA ("Summary of Clinical Pharmacology Studies"), at BY0000152499-BY152651;
- Section 2.7.4 of the Byetta® NDA ("Summary of Clinical Safety"), at BY0000152752-BY0000152845, BY0000356110-BY0000356142;
- Section 2.7.6 of the Byetta® NDA ("Synopsis of Individual Studies"), at BY0000152852-BY0000152886, BY0000356143-BY0000356144;

- 16 -

• The "Integrated Summary of Safety Data" in the Byetta® NDA, at

- 17 -

Clinical Studies"), BY0000389750-BY0000389755 (PSUR 008, Appendix 6, "Summary of Newly Analyzed Studies"), BY0000389756-BY0000389760 (PSUR 008, Appendix 7, "Safety Studies Initiated, Ongoing, and Analyzed"), BY0000414492-BY0000414495 (PSUR 009, Appendix 6, "Safety Studies Completed, Ongoing, and Planned"), BY0000414496-BY0000414497 (PSUR 009, Appendix 7, Pharmacoepidemiology Studies"), BY0000437014-BY0000437023 (PSUR 010, Appendix 8, Clinical and Pharmacoepidemiologic Studies), BY0000439630-BY0000439633 (PSUR 011, Appendix 6, Safety Studies), BY0000441792-BY0000441805 (PSUR 012, Appendix 6, Safety Studies), BY0000443860-BY0000443873 (PSUR 013, Appendix 7, Safety Studies), BY0000445866-BY0000445879 (PSUR 014, Appendix 8, Safety Studies), BY0000448214-BY0000448222 (PSUR 015, Appendix 6, Safety Studies).

Amylin further responds that the table of human studies attached as Exhibit B includes Bates ranges for human study protocols and reports.

Amylin further responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for additional human study protocols, reports and results in its possession, custody or control. Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in that search that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Amylin will search for materials related to studies that were not completed by February 28, 2014 only to the extent such materials are otherwise responsive. Amylin further responds that it will produce documents relating to work performed for human studies by independent investigators, contract research organizations, and other third parties only to the extent such documents are found in reasonable searches of custodial files for otherwise responsive documents.

If after reviewing the study reports Plaintiffs believe production of specific AMYLIN'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS'

confer with Plaintiffs regarding the additional materials Plaintiffs seek.

2

REQUEST NO. 12:

5

4

The standard operating procedures and/or policy and procedures manuals for BYETTA human studies.

additional materials regarding specific studies is necessary, Amylin will meet and

6

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 12:

7

8

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "policy and procedure" manuals."

9

10

11

12

13

14

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin will conduct a reasonable search for responsive documents in its possession, custody, or control. Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in that search that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs.

15

16

REQUEST NO. 13:

17 18

19

20

Every DOCUMENT that records, analyzes or discusses information about each person YOU are aware of who was a participant in a BYETTA human study and was diagnosed with pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer either while still participating in the study or after withdrawing or otherwise being removed from the

21

study.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 13:

23 24

22

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks information about "each

25 26

person YOU are aware of who was a participant in a BYETTA human study and was diagnosed with pancreatitis." The Court's March 25, 2014 order limited

27

discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and pancreatic cancer." 3/25/2014 Order (Doc. No. 377) at 3:6-7

28

(emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to general causation concerning pancreatic cancer, and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis.

Amylin further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks the production of information that would compromise the privacy of the patients, health care providers, reporters, or other persons identified in files or data concerning specific study subjects.

Amylin further objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "records, analyzes or discusses information about."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Information about pancreatic cancer adverse events reported for exenatide users, including those in clinical trials, can be found in documents previously produced in this litigation, including without limitation the IND/NDA produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012 (Bates numbers BY00000001-BY00449028), which included Periodic Safety Update Reports ("PSURs") and Periodic Adverse Drug Experience Reports ("PADERs"); adverse event reports produced on November 18, 2013 (Bates numbers AMYLN03827490-AMYLN03829802); MedWatch forms and database reports produced on December 23, 2013 (Bates numbers AMYLN03829803- AMYLN03838851). Amylin further responds that information about pancreatic cancer adverse events in Byetta® human study participants is also included in Amylin's concurrent production of the updated IND/NDA. Amylin further responds that it is aware of pancreatic cancer events being reported on the exenatide arm of the following Human studies: 2993-119 (Subject ID 2993-112-108-010820); H8OCR-GWDK (Subject ID H8OCR-GWDK-063-006303); *H80EW-GWDM* (Subject ID H80EW-GWDM-153-015313); H8OMC-GWAO (Subject ID H8OMC-GWAO-404-004154); H8OMC-GWCK

27

24

25

26

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

26

27

28

(Subject ID H8OMC-GWCK-052-005203); *2993LAR-105* (Subject ID 2993LAR-105-231-023105); and *H8OJE-GWBX* (Subject ID H8OJE-GWBX-021-002103).

Amylin further responds that its concurrent and upcoming productions will include MedWatch forms and native XML data, as specified in Amylin's response to Plaintiffs' General Causation Interrogatory No. 19, served today, including pancreatic cancer adverse event reports from study participants.

REQUEST NO. 14:

Every DOCUMENT that addresses the significance of any human study in relation to whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 14:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome, to the extent it requests "[e]very DOCUMENT," such that the likely benefits production are outweighed by the burdens of production. Amylin further objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents outside Amylin's custody or control and documents that are publicly and equally available to Plaintiffs. Amylin further objects to this request to the extent it seeks information protected by the Attorney Work Product or Attorney-Client Privilege. Amylin further objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its terms "addresses the significance" and "is capable of CAUSING."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to the Byetta® IND/NDA previously produced in this litigation, specifically those sections cited by Bates number in response to Request No. 11, and its concurrently produced updated Byetta® IND/NDA.

Amylin also refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files previously produced by Amylin on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and to Amylin's upcoming production of custodial files collected using search terms

agreed upon with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs.

REQUEST NO. 15:

The memoranda, reports and other similar DOCUMENTS that describe the nature and intended purpose of any human studies involving BYETTA that are not yet started or completed and, to the extent such DOCUMENTS exist, the study protocols; data; researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results; reports; and pancreatic specimens (e.g. histology slides, tissue samples, etc.) for each such human study.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 15:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of evidence admissible on the question of general causation because human studies that have not been started or completed do not include data relevant to general causation. The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence* . . . with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added). By agreement of the parties, the Court ordered February 28, 2014 as the cut-off date for such evidence. 3/07/2014 Order at 1:20-22. Documents related to studies that were not completed by February 28, 2014 are not within the scope of permissible general causation discovery.

Amylin further objects to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous including but not limited to its requests for information about the "nature" of studies.

- 22 -

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: The Byetta® IND/NDA produced to Plaintiffs on December 12, 2012 and the updated Byetta® IND/NDA concurrently produced may include protocols and other information for human studies that may not be completed. Amylin refers Plaintiffs, without limitation, to the sections of the previously produced IND/NDA cited in Amylin's response to Request No. 11. Amylin further responds that it will produce materials related to studies that were not completed by February 28, 2014 only to the extent such materials are in its prior productions and/or are otherwise responsive.

REQUEST NO. 16:

A complete list of all BYETTA observational studies (including, without limitation, claims database studies, cohort studies and other epidemiological studies) performed, completed, designed, planned and/or contemplated, identifying them by name, number or any other designation YOU use to identify them.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 16:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it constitutes an Interrogatory, not a Request for Production and is duplicative of Plaintiffs' General Causation Interrogatory No. 2 Amylin refers Plaintiffs to its response and objections to General Causation Interrogatory No. 2, which are incorporated by reference as if set forth fully here.

REQUEST NO. 17:

For each BYETTA observational study (including, without limitation, claims database studies, cohort studies and other epidemiological studies) performed, completed, designed, planned and/or contemplated, produce the following:

- a. The protocols; data; researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results; and reports for that study;
- b. The database(s) where the above information can be located; and

c. If an independent investigator, contract research organization, or other third party was involved in the study, produce all documents relating to the work performed, including but not limited to contracts and communications between YOU and said independent investigator, contract research organization, or other third party.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 17:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome and oppressive, as the burden of collecting all the specified materials for "each BYETTA observational study . . . performed, completed, designed, planned and/or contemplated" would far outweigh the likely benefit to be achieved. Amylin further objects to the Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its use of the terms "observational study," "researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results" and "planned and/or contemplated." Amylin interprets the term "observational study" to refer to epidemiological studies.

Amylin further objects to this Request as overbroad and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it requests information about studies that have been merely "designed, planned and/or contemplated." The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence* . . . with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added). By agreement of the parties, the Court ordered February 28, 2014 as the cut-off date for such evidence. 3/07/2014 Order at 1:20-22. Studies that were not completed by February 28, 2014 are not within the scope of permissible general causation discovery.

Amylin further objects to this Request to the extent it requests the production of physical "databases." Amylin further objects to this Request to the extent it requests "contracts and communications" between Amylin and independent

investigators, contract research organizations, and other third parties as such materials are not "actual scientific evidence." The Court's March 25, 2014 order expressly stated that "consulting agreements" and "third party contracts" would not be discoverable in this phase (3/25/2014 Order at 2:24-28), and Amylin objects to conducting a search for communications with and documents relating to third-party researchers.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Protocols, final reports and results from observational studies are included in the Byetta® IND/NDA that was produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012, and Amylin's concurrent production of the updated Byetta® IND/NDA. Amylin refers Plaintiffs, without limitation, to the following sections of the previously produced Byetta® IND/NDA:

Section 9 ("Overall Safety Evaluation") of the Byetta® Periodic Safety Update Reports (PSURs), at BY0000354589-BY0000354609, BY0000361465-BY0000361487, BY0000364729-BY0000364752, BY0000368483-BY0000368508, BY0000372758-BY0000372822, BY0000378160-BY0000378200, BY0000383938-BY0000383988, BY0000387744-BY0000387817, BY0000412631-BY0000412665, BY0000435107-BY0000435136, BY0000437991-BY0000438016, BY0000440437-BY0000440475, BY0000442483-BY0000442513, BY0000444573-BY0000444592, BY0000446468-BY0000446486.

Amylin further responds that the table of observational studies attached as Exhibit C includes Bates ranges for observational study protocols and reports.

Amylin further responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for additional observational study protocols, reports and results in its possession, custody or control. Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in that search that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable

orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Amylin will produce materials related to studies that were not completed by February 28, 2014 only to the extent such materials are in its prior productions and/or are otherwise responsive. Amylin further responds that it will produce documents relating to work performed for epidemiological studies by independent investigators, contract research organizations, and other third parties only to the extent such documents are found in reasonable searches of custodial files for otherwise responsive documents.

REQUEST NO. 18:

The standard operating procedures and/or policy and procedures manuals for BYETTA observational studies (including, without limitation, claims database studies, cohort studies and other epidemiological studies).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 18:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "policy and procedure manuals."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin will conduct a reasonable search for responsive documents in its possession, custody, or control. Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in that search that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs.

REQUEST NO. 19:

Every DOCUMENT that addresses the significance of any observational studies (including, without limitation, claims database studies, cohort studies and other epidemiological studies) in relation to whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 19:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as

overbroad and unduly burdensome, to the extent it requests "[e]very DOCUMENT," such that the likely benefits production are outweighed by the burdens of production. Amylin further objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents outside Amylin's custody or control and documents that are publicly and equally available to Plaintiffs. Amylin further objects to this request to the extent it seeks information protected by the Attorney Work Product or Attorney-Client Privilege. Amylin further objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its terms "addresses the significance" and "is capable of CAUSING."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to the Byetta® IND/NDA previously produced in this litigation, specifically those sections cited by Bates number in response to Request No. 17, and the concurrently produced Byetta® IND/NDA.

Amylin also refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files previously produced by Amylin on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and to Amylin's forthcoming production of custodial files collected using search terms agreed upon with Plaintiffs for key custodians. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs.

REQUEST NO. 20:

The memoranda, reports and other similar DOCUMENTS that describe the nature and intended purpose of any observational studies (including, without limitation, claims database studies, cohort studies and other epidemiological studies) involving BYETTA that are not yet started or completed and, to the extent such DOCUMENTS exist, the study protocols; data; researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails;

results; and reports for each such study.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 20:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of evidence admissible on the question of general causation because observational studies that have not been started or completed do not include data relevant to general causation. The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence* . . . with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added). By agreement of the parties, the Court ordered February 28, 2014 as the cut-off date for such evidence. 3/07/2014 Order at 1:20-22. Documents related to studies that were not completed by February 28, 2014 are not within the scope of permissible general causation discovery.

Amylin further objects to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous including but not limited to its requests for information about the "nature" of the studies.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: The Byetta® IND/NDA produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012 and the updated Byetta® IND/NDA included in Amylin's concurrent production may include protocols and other information for observational studies that may not be completed. Amylin further responds that it will produce materials related to studies that were not completed by February 28, 2014 only to the extent such materials are in its prior productions and/or are otherwise responsive.

REQUEST NO. 21:

The standard operating procedures and/or policy and procedures manuals for BYETTA studies undertaken to determine, in whole or in part, whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer.

- 28 -

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 21:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as duplicative of Requests Nos. 7, 12, and 18. Amylin further objects to the Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "policy and procedure manuals" and "is capable of CAUSING."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin collects data about potential toxicity and adverse events, including events related to pancreatic cancer, in every study related to Byetta® that it undertakes. The collection of such data is part of the purpose of every Byetta® study undertaken. Amylin refers Plaintiffs to its responses to Requests Nos. 7, 12, and 18, which are incorporated as if set forth in full here.

REQUEST NO. 22:

The study protocols; data; researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results; and reports that were provided to the FDA for each study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment undertaken by YOU for the purpose of determining, in whole or in part, whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 22:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as duplicative of Requests Nos. 6, 11, and 17. Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome and oppressive as it seeks a quantum and type of information that would be unduly burdensome to collect, and the likely benefits of producing such information are outweighed by the burdens associated with production. Amylin further objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its use of the terms "researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results" and "is capable of CAUSING." Amylin further objects to the Request on the grounds that the relevance of scientific evidence is not determined by whether or not it was submitted to the FDA.

- 29 -

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin collects data about potential toxicity and adverse events, including events related to pancreatic cancer, in every study related to Byetta® that it undertakes. The collection of such data is part of the purpose of every Byetta® study undertaken. Amylin refers Plaintiffs to its responses to Requests Nos. 6, 11, and 17, which are incorporated as if set forth fully here.

REQUEST NO. 23:

The study protocols; data; researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results; and reports that were not provided to the FDA for each study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment undertaken by YOU for the purpose of determining, in whole or in part, whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO 23:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome and oppressive as it seeks a quantum and type of information that would be unduly burdensome to collect, and the likely benefits of producing such information are outweighed by the burdens associated with production. Amylin further objects to the Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its use of the terms "researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results." Amylin further objects to the Request on the grounds that the relevance of scientific evidence is not determined by whether or not it was submitted to the FDA.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin collects data about potential toxicity and adverse events, including events related to pancreatic cancer, in every study related to Byetta® that it undertakes. The collection of such data is part of the purpose of every Byetta® study undertaken. Amylin will conduct a reasonable search for any responsive

study protocols, final reports or data in its possession, custody, or control. Amylin will produce nonprivileged materials found in that search that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs.

Amylin further responds that if after reviewing the study reports Plaintiffs believe production of specific additional materials regarding specific studies is necessary, Amylin will meet and confer with Plaintiffs regarding the additional materials Plaintiffs seek.

REQUEST NO. 24:

The study protocols; data; researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results; and reports that were provided to the EMA for each study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment undertaken by YOU for the purpose of determining, in whole or in part, whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 24:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as duplicative of other requests, overbroad, unduly burdensome and oppressive as it seeks a quantum and type of information that would be unduly burdensome to collect, and the likely benefits of producing such information are outweighed by the burdens associated with production. Amylin further objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its use of the terms "researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results" and "is capable of CAUSING." Amylin further objects to the Request on the grounds that the relevance of scientific evidence is not determined by whether or not it was submitted to the EMA.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin collects data about potential toxicity and adverse events, including

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

REQUEST NO. 25:

The study protocols; data; researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results; and reports that were not provided to the EMA for each study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment undertaken by YOU for the purpose of determining, in whole or in part, whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer.

events related to pancreatic cancer, in every study related to Byetta® that it

undertakes. The collection of such data is part of the purpose of every Byetta®

study undertaken. Responsive documents may be found in the EMA files to be

produce the EMA files under the unique and specific facts of this case – namely,

that Amylin has noted the EMA's July 2013 conclusion that Byetta® and other

incretin-based therapies do not cause pancreatic cancer, as well as the February

2014 statement jointly authored by the EMA and the FDA that rejects the

hypothesized associations between pancreatic cancer and Byetta® and other

incretin therapies that underlie Plaintiffs' claims. Amylin continues to maintain

actions in the United States and generally should not be produced in such litigation.

that regulatory filings with foreign agencies are irrelevant to products liability

included in Amylin's and Lilly's forthcoming productions. Amylin agrees to

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 25

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome and oppressive as it seeks a quantum and type of information that would be unduly burdensome to collect, and the likely benefits of producing such information are outweighed by the burdens associated with production. Amylin further objects to the Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its use of the terms "researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results" and "is capable of CAUSING." Amylin further objects to the Request on the grounds that the relevance of scientific evidence is not determined by whether

or not it was submitted to the EMA.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin collects data about potential toxicity and adverse events, including events related to pancreatic cancer, in every study related to Byetta® that it undertakes. The collection of such data is part of the purpose of every Byetta® study undertaken. Amylin will conduct a reasonable search for any responsive study protocols, final reports or data in its possession, custody, or control. Amylin will produce nonprivileged materials found in that search that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs.

Amylin further responds that if after reviewing the study reports Plaintiffs believe production of specific additional materials regarding specific studies is necessary, Amylin will meet and confer with Plaintiffs regarding the additional materials Plaintiffs seek.

REQUEST NO. 26:

Every DOCUMENT that addresses the significance of any study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment undertaken by YOU for the purpose of determining, in whole or in part, whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer, in relation to whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 26:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as duplicative of Requests Nos. 8, 14 and 19, overbroad and unduly burdensome, to the extent it requests "[e]very DOCUMENT," such that the likely benefits production are outweighed by the burdens of production. Amylin further objects to this request to the extent it seeks information protected by the Attorney Work Product or Attorney-Client Privilege. Amylin further objects to this Request as

vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its terms "addresses the significance" and "is capable of CAUSING."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin collects data about potential toxicity and adverse events, including events related to pancreatic cancer, in every study related to Byetta® that it undertakes. The collection of such data is part of the purpose of every Byetta® study undertaken. Amylin therefore refers Plaintiffs to its responses to Requests Nos. 8, 14 and 19, which are incorporated as if set forth fully here.

REQUEST NO. 27:

The memoranda, reports and other similar DOCUMENTS that describe the nature and intended purpose of any study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment undertaken by YOU for the purpose of determining, in whole or in part, whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer, that is not yet started or completed and, to the extent such DOCUMENTS exist, the study protocols; data; researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results; and reports for each such study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 27:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of evidence admissible on the question of general causation because studies, tests, investigations, evaluations and assessments that have not been started or completed do not include data relevant to general causation. The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence* . . . with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added). By agreement of the parties, the Court ordered February 28, 2014 as the cut-off date for such evidence. 3/07/2014 Order at 1:20-22. Documents related to studies that were not completed by February 28, 2014 are not

within the scope of permissible general causation discovery.

Amylin further objects to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous including but not limited to its requests for information about the "nature" of the studies and its term "is capable of CAUSING."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin collects data about potential toxicity and adverse events, including events related to pancreatic cancer, in every study related to Byetta® that it undertakes. The collection of such data is part of the purpose of every Byetta® study undertaken. Amylin refers Plaintiffs to its responses to Requests Nos. 9, 15 and 20, which are incorporated as if set forth fully here.

REQUEST NO. 28:

The standard operating procedures and/or policy and procedures manuals for all other studies YOU are aware of that bear, in whole or in part, on whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer (whether such study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment involves BYETTA, another GLP1 receptor or DPP-4 inhibitor, any other drug, or no drug).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 28:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it is premature as the determination of whether a study, test, investigation, evaluation or assessment "bears on" whether Byetta® causes or "is CAPABLE of" causing pancreatic cancer calls for expert opinion, which will be disclosed in accordance with the schedule established by the Court.

Amylin further objects that the Request is overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® or exenatide, drugs not manufactured, designed, developed and/or tested by Amylin, and studies, tests, investigations, evaluations and/or assessments not conducted by Amylin. Amylin further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks information not in Amylin's possession, custody, or control. Amylin further objects to the

25

26

27

28

Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "policy and procedure manuals" and "is capable of CAUSING."

REQUEST NO. 29:

Every DOCUMENT that addresses the significance of any other study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment YOU are aware of that bears, in whole or in part, on whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer (whether such study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment involves BYETTA, another GLP-1 receptor or DPP-4 inhibitor, any other drug, or no drug), in relation to whether BYETTA CAUSES pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 29:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it is premature as the determination of whether a study, test, investigation, evaluation or assessment "bears on" whether Byetta® causes or "is CAPABLE of" causing pancreatic cancer calls for expert opinion, which will be disclosed in accordance with the schedule established by the Court.

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome and oppressive in that the potential benefits of collecting such information are outweighed by the burdens associated with collection. Amylin further objects that the Request is overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome insofar as it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® or exenatide, drugs not manufactured, designed, developed and/or tested by Amylin, and studies, tests, investigations, evaluations and/or assessments not conducted by Amylin.

Amylin further objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its use of the term "bears, in whole or in part, on whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer."

REQUEST NO. 30:

The memoranda, reports and other similar DOCUMENTS that describe the AMYLIN'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS'

nature and intended purpose of any other study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment YOU are aware of that bears, in whole or in part, on whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer (whether such study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment involves BYETTA, another GLP-1 receptor or DPP-4 inhibitor, any other drug, or no drug) that is not yet started or completed and, to the extent such DOCUMENTS exist, the study protocols; data; researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results; reports; and pancreatic specimens (e.g., histology slides, tissue samples, etc.) for each such other study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 30:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it is premature as the determination of whether a study, test, investigation, evaluation or assessment "bears on" whether Byetta® causes or "is CAPABLE of" causing pancreatic cancer calls for expert opinion, which will be disclosed in accordance with the schedule established by the Court.

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome and oppressive in that the potential benefits of collecting the information specified are outweighed by the burdens associated with collection. Amylin further objects that the Request is overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome insofar as it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® or exenatide, drugs not manufactured, designed, developed and/or tested by Amylin, and studies, tests, investigations, evaluations and/or assessments not conducted by Amylin. Amylin further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks information not within Amylin's possession, custody or control.

Amylin further objects to this Request on the grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of evidence admissible on the question of general causation because studies, tests, investigations, evaluations and assessments that

have not been started or completed do not include data relevant to general causation. The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence* . . . with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added). By agreement of the parties, the Court ordered February 28, 2014 as the cut-off date for such evidence. 3/07/2014 Order at 1:20-22. Documents related to studies, tests, investigations, evaluations and assessments that were not completed by February 28, 2014 are not within the scope of permissible general causation discovery.

Amylin further objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its use of the term "bears, in whole or in part, on whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer."

REQUEST NO. 31:

The study protocols; data; researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results; reports; and pancreatic specimens (e.g., histology slides, tissue samples, etc.) that were provided to the FDA for any other study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment YOU are aware of that bears, in whole or in part, on whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer (whether such study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment involves BYETTA, another GLP-1 receptor or DPP-4 inhibitor, any other drug, or no drug).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 31:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it is premature as the determination of whether a study, test, investigation, evaluation or assessment "bears on" whether Byetta® causes or "is CAPABLE of" causing pancreatic cancer calls for expert opinion, which will be disclosed in accordance with the schedule established by the Court.

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome and oppressive in that it seeks a quantum and type of information that would be unduly

burdensome to collect, and that the potential benefits of collecting such information are outweighed by the burdens associated with collection. Amylin further objects that the Request is overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome insofar as it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® or exenatide and drugs not manufactured, designed, developed and/or tested by Amylin, and studies, tests, investigations, evaluations and/or assessments not conducted by Amylin. Amylin further objects to the extent the Request seeks information not in Amylin's possession, custody, or control.

Amylin further objects to this Request on the grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence on the question of general causation because any distinction between what was, or was not, provided to the FDA has no effect on the scientific question of whether Byetta® causes pancreatic cancer.

Amylin further objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its use of the terms "researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results" and "bears, in whole or in part, on whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer."

REQUEST NO. 32:

The study protocols; data; researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results; reports; and pancreatic specimens (e.g., histology slides, tissue samples, etc.) that were not provided to the FDA for any other study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment YOU are aware of that bears, in whole or in part, on whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer (whether such study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment involves BYETTA, another GLP-1 receptor or DPP-4 inhibitor, any other drug, or no drug.

- 39 -

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 32:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it is premature as the determination of whether a study, test, investigation, evaluation or assessment "bears on" whether Byetta® causes or "is CAPABLE of" causing pancreatic cancer calls for expert opinion, which will be disclosed in accordance with the schedule established by the Court.

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome and oppressive in that it seeks a quantum and type of information that would be unduly burdensome to collect, and that the potential benefits of collecting such information are outweighed by the burdens associated with collection. Amylin further objects that the Request is overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome insofar as it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® or exenatide, drugs not manufactured, designed, developed and/or tested by Amylin, and studies, tests, investigations, evaluations and/or assessments not conducted by Amylin. Amylin further objects to the extent the Request seeks information not in Amylin's possession, custody, or control.

Amylin further objects to this Request on the grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence on the question of general causation because any distinction between what was, or was not, provided to the FDA has no effect on the scientific question of whether Byetta® causes pancreatic cancer.

Amylin further objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its use of the terms "researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results" and "bears, in whole or in part, on whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer."

REQUEST NO. 33:

The study protocols; data; researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results; reports; and

pancreatic specimens (e.g., histology slides, tissue samples, etc.) that were provided to the EMA for any other study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment YOU are aware of that bears, in whole or in part, on whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer (whether such study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment involves BYETTA, another GLP-1 receptor or DPP-4 inhibitor, any other drug, or no drug).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 33:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it is premature as the determination of whether a study, test, investigation, evaluation or assessment "bears on" whether Byetta® causes or "is CAPABLE of" causing pancreatic cancer calls for expert opinion, which will be disclosed in accordance with the schedule established by the Court.

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome and oppressive in that it seeks a quantum and type of information that would be unduly burdensome to collect, and that the potential benefits of collecting such information are outweighed by the burdens associated with collection. Amylin further objects that the Request is overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome insofar as it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® or exenatide, drugs not manufactured, designed, developed and/or tested by Amylin, and studies, tests, investigations, evaluations and/or assessments not conducted by Amylin. Amylin further objects to the extent the Request seeks information not in Amylin's possession, custody, or control.

Amylin further objects to this Request on the grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence on the question of general causation because any distinction between what was, or was not, provided to the EMA has no effect on the scientific question of whether Byetta® causes pancreatic cancer.

Amylin further objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, including

AMYLIN'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS'

but not limited to its use of the terms "researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results" and "bears, in whole or in part, on whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer."

REQUEST NO. 34:

The study protocols; data; researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results; reports; and pancreatic specimens (e.g., histology slides, tissue samples, etc.) that were not provided to the EMA for any other study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment YOU are aware of that bears, in whole or in part, on whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer (whether such study, test, investigation, evaluation and/or assessment involves BYETTA, another GLP-1 receptor or DPP-4 inhibitor, any other drug, or no drug).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 34:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it is premature as the determination of whether a study, test, investigation, evaluation or assessment "bears on" whether Byetta® causes or "is CAPABLE of" causing pancreatic cancer calls for expert opinion, which will be disclosed in accordance with the schedule established by the Court.

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome and oppressive in that it seeks a quantum and type of information that would be unduly burdensome to collect, and that the potential benefits of collecting such information are outweighed by the burdens associated with collection. Amylin further objects that the Request is overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome insofar as it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® or exenatide, drugs not manufactured, designed, developed and/or tested by Amylin, and studies, tests, investigations, evaluations and/or assessments not conducted by Amylin. Amylin further objects

to the extent the Request seeks information not in Amylin's possession, custody, or control.

Amylin further objects to this Request on the grounds that it is irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence on the question of general causation because any distinction between what was, or was not, provided to the EMA has no effect on the scientific question of whether Byetta® causes pancreatic cancer.

Amylin further objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its use of the terms "researcher and/or laboratory technician notebooks, notes, logs, bench notes, books, computer files and emails; results" and "bears, in whole or in part, on whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer."

REQUEST NO. 35:

All emails, letters, reports, memoranda and other written communications YOU have sent to or received from any governmental agency (including, without limitation, the FDA and EMA) or any other entity or person regarding whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 35:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request overbroad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive, including without limitation its request for information about "[a]ll emails, letters, reports, memoranda and other written communications" without limitation as to time or purpose. Amylin further objects to the Request on the grounds that it is irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of evidence admissible on the question of general causation to the extent that it seeks information other than scientific data, as the Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence* . . . with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case,"

PRODUCE

3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added), and to the extent it seeks communications with regulatory agencies outside the United States, as all relevant actions in these cases occurred in the United States.

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome to the extent that it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® and exenatide in litigation that, as it applies to Amylin, only concerns claims related to Byetta®.

Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible information to the extent it seeks information about a causal relationship between Byetta® (and other drugs) and pancreatitis. The Court's March 25, 2014 order limited discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and *pancreatic cancer*." 3/25/2014 Order at 3:6-7 (emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to general causation concerning pancreatic cancer, and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis.

Amylin further objects to the Request as vague and ambiguous, including without limitation its term "is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin's communications with the FDA can be found in the Byetta® IND/NDA included in Amylin's December 21, 2012 production at Bates numbers BY00000001-BY00449028. Amylin specifically refers Plaintiffs to communications with the FDA found at Bates numbers BY00390802-BY00403814 and BY00416353-BY00426172. Additional responsive documents may be found in the concurrently produced supplemental Byetta® IND/NDA and in the EMA files to be included in Amylin's and Lilly's forthcoming productions. Amylin agrees to produce the EMA files under the unique and specific facts of this case –

namely, that Amylin has noted the EMA's July 2013 conclusion that Byetta® and other incretin-based therapies do not cause pancreatic cancer, as well as the February 2014 statement jointly authored by the EMA and the FDA that rejects the hypothesized associations between pancreatic cancer and Byetta® and other incretin therapies that underlie Plaintiffs' claims. Amylin continues to maintain that regulatory filings with foreign agencies are irrelevant to products liability actions in the United States and generally should not be produced in such litigation.

Amylin also refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and to the custodial files to be included in Amylin's forthcoming productions as negotiated with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Attached as Exhibit D is a spreadsheet listing the custodians whose files have previously been produced, the custodians' job titles, and the Bates numbers containing each custodian's files. Plaintiffs can locate and identify documents responsive to this Request within these productions as readily as Amylin can.

REQUEST NO. 36:

If any of YOUR employees, officers, directors, agents, contractors, key opinion leaders, members of speakers' bureaus, advisory board members, or scientific advisors corresponded with or supplied information or data to the European Medicines Agency (EMA) about or in connection with any assessments of whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer (including, without limitation, as reflected in the EMA's 2013 "Assessment report for GLP-1 based therapies" and its 2014 "Pancreatic Safety of Incretin-Based Drugs – FDA and EMA Assessment"), produce the correspondence, information or data provided to the EMA, and any

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 36:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome, and as seeking information outside Amylin's custody and control, insofar as it seeks information about communications by Amylin's "agents, contractors, key opinion leaders, members of speakers' bureaus, advisory board members, and scientific advisors" as these persons are not Amylin employees, officers or directors, and to the extent the Interrogatory seeks information about communications by any persons not made in the course of their employment by or affiliation with Amylin.

correspondence or other DOCUMENTS YOU received from the EMA in response.

Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence as the relevance or competence of evidence on general causation is not dependent on the entity to which it was communicated. To the extent this Request seeks evidence that is relevant to general causation, it is duplicative of Plaintiffs' other requests that seek such evidence more directly.

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome to the extent that it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® or exenatide in litigation that, as it applies to Amylin, concerns only claims related to Byetta®. Amylin further objects to the Interrogatory as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence insofar as it seeks communications "in connection with any assessments of whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis." The Court's March 25, 2014 order limited discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and pancreatic cancer." 3/25/2014 Order (Doc. No. 377) at 3:6-7 (emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to

general causation concerning pancreatic cancer, and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis.

Amylin further objects to the Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "is capable of CAUSING."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Responsive documents may be found in the EMA files to be included in Amylin's and Lilly's forthcoming productions. Amylin agrees to produce EMA files under the unique and specific facts of this case – namely, that Amylin has noted the EMA's July 2013 conclusion that Byetta® and other incretin-based therapies do not cause pancreatic cancer, as well as the February 2014 statement jointly authored by the EMA and the FDA that rejects the hypothesized associations between pancreatic cancer and Byetta® and other incretin therapies that underlie Plaintiffs' claims. Amylin continues to maintain that regulatory filings with foreign agencies are irrelevant to products liability actions in the United States and generally should not be produced in such litigation.

REQUEST NO. 37:

If any of YOUR employees, officers, directors, agents, contractors, key opinion leaders, members of speakers' bureaus, advisory board members, or scientific advisors corresponded with or supplied information or data to the FDA about or in connection with any assessments of whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer (including, without limitation, as reflected in the FDA's 2014 "Pancreatic Safety of Incretin-Based Drugs – FDA and EMA Assessment"), produce the correspondence, information or data, and any correspondence or other DOCUMENTS YOU received from the FDA in response.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 37:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome, and as seeking information outside

9

12

13

14

15

16

17 18 19

20

21

22 23

24

26

25

27 28

Amylin's custody and control, insofar as it seeks information about communications by Amylin's "agents, contractors, key opinion leaders, members of speakers' bureaus, advisory board members, and scientific advisors" as these persons are not Amylin employees, officers or directors, and to the extent the Request seeks information about communications by any persons not made in the course of their employment by or affiliation with Amylin.

Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence as the relevance or competence of evidence on general causation is not dependent on the entity to which it was communicated. To the extent this Request seeks evidence that is relevant to general causation, it is duplicative of Plaintiffs' other requests that seek such evidence more directly.

Amylin further objects to the Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "is capable of CAUSING."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin's communications with the FDA can be found in the Byetta® IND/NDA included in Amylin's December 21, 2012 production, specifically at Bates numbers BY00390802-BY00403814 and BY00416353-BY00426172, and in the concurrently produced supplemental Byetta® IND/NDA and FDA correspondence files.

REQUEST NO. 38:

The standard operating procedures and/or policy and procedures manuals for the handling of pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer ADVERSE EVENTS and REPORTABLE EVENTS pertaining to BYETTA.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 38:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible information because adverse event reports are used in the generation of scientific

hypotheses, and cannot establish a causal association between a pharmaceutical or biologic agent and a condition, and are therefore of little or no value in this litigation.

Amylin further objects to the extent the Request assumes or suggests that Amylin associated a given Adverse Event Report with Byetta®. Amylin's responsibility is to record and store Adverse Events Reports that are brought to its attention by reporters, who are in most instances not Amylin employees, under no obligation to provide Amylin accurate or complete information, and may lack sufficient information or qualification to associate an Adverse Event with a pharmaceutical or biologic agent. Amylin objects to any Request that characterizes the mandated recording and reporting of Adverse Events Reports as an admission of causation, association, or any other relationship.

Amylin further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, and/or joint defense privilege, and confidential proprietary and/or trade secret information.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to documents previously produced in this litigation, including without limitation Bristol-Myers Squibb Global Pharmacovigilence and Epidemiology Standard Operating Procedures produced on February 7, 2014 (AMYLN03838852-AMYLN03839761); Bristol-Myers Squibb Global Pharmacovigilence and Epidemiology Training Materials produced on February 8, 2014 (AMYLN03839777-AMYLN03842921); historical Amylin pharmacovigilence Standard Operating Procedures produced on February 13, 2014 (AMYLN03842922-AMYLN03843892); Amylin's supplemental historical standard operating procedures produced on May 2, 2014 (AMYLN03844175-AMYLN03844331 and AMYLN03845162-AMYLN03845162-AMYLN03845340); and Amylin's training materials produced on May 2, 2014 (AMYLN03844332-AMYLN03845161).

REQUEST NO. 39:

Produce in electronic format complete copies of all databases used to track, trend, or record information regarding pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer ADVERSE EVENTS that YOU associated with BYETTA. To the extent that YOUR databases incorporate the following information for pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer ADVERSE EVENTS for BYETTA, this request includes:

- a. All DOCUMENTS and information in YOUR possession regarding each ADVERSE EVENT;
- b. Whether the ADVERSE EVENT was in the form of a MedWatch Report, communication from a medical provider or consumer, an ADVERSE EVENT REPORT ("AER") or some other form;
- c. All attempts YOU made to communicate with anyone to gather further information regarding each ADVERSE EVENT;
- d. All communications YOU made or received, including the substance of the communications, the identities of any persons YOU communicated with internally, and the identities of any persons YOU communicated with externally regarding each ADVERSE EVENT;
- e. The nature and results of any investigations YOU conducted to determine the CAUSE of each ADVERSE EVENT, and/or the basis of any decisions not to investigate;
- f. Any experts and/or consultants whom YOU contacted regarding any ADVERSE EVENT;
- g. YOUR deliberations and decision-making processes used to determine whether each ADVERSE EVENT was or was not a REPORTABLE EVENT;
- h. Any action YOU took as a result of each ADVERSE EVENT;
- i. YOUR analysis and conclusions as to the nature, severity and frequency of each ADVERSE EVENT;

- 50 -

- j. All ADVERSE EVENT report forms, including supplemental reports and related information, that were submitted to the FDA for each ADVERSE EVENT;
- k. The current status or final disposition of each ADVERSE EVENT; and
- 1. Any reporting rates analysis and/or trending analysis done regarding each ADVERSE EVENT.

To the extent that YOUR databases do not incorporate some or all of the information referenced above in subparts a-l, produce the equivalent information by reference to the business records in which YOU store it.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 39:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome, and overbroad, and on the grounds that the likely benefit, if any, of the discovery is outweighed by the substantial burdens of production. Adverse event reports are used in the generation of scientific hypotheses, and cannot establish a causal association between a pharmaceutical or biologic agent and a condition, and are therefore of little or no value in this litigation.

Amylin further objects to this Request as overbroad and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible information to the extent it seeks information about pancreatitis adverse events. The Court's March 25, 2014 order limited discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and *pancreatic cancer*." 3/25/2014 Order at 3:6-7 (emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to the general causation concerning pancreatic cancer, and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis cases.

Amylin further objects to this Request as overbroad, oppressive and unduly

- 51 -

burdensome to the extent that it seeks the wholesale production of data in company databases. If applied uniformly and without exception, Plaintiffs' prescribed production and database formats would cause Amylin undue and unjustified burden and expense. Amylin databases overwhelmingly contain information that is not relevant to Byetta® or pancreatic cancer and the wholesale production of all data in such databases is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence. Further, Plaintiffs' request for the production of a "database," if taken literally, would require Amylin to produce to Plaintiffs the hardware and software that make up Amylin's safety databases.

Amylin further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks the production of documents relating to reported events regarding non-plaintiffs because the burden and expense of production outweighs the likelihood those documents will lead to relevant evidence.

Amylin further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks the production of information that would compromise the privacy of the patients, health care providers, reporters, or other persons identified in files or data concerning specific adverse events. Such information is absolutely protected by federal regulation, and Amylin is not at liberty to disclose it to Plaintiffs. *See* 21 C.F.R. § 20.63; Protective Order ¶ 2.e.

Amylin further objects to the extent the Request assumes or suggests that Amylin associated a given Adverse Event Report with Byetta®. Amylin's responsibility is to record and store Adverse Events Reports that are brought to its attention by reporters, who are in most instances not Amylin employees, under no obligation to provide Amylin truthful or complete information, and may lack sufficient information or qualification to associate an Adverse Event with a pharmaceutical or biologic agent. Amylin objects to any Request that characterizes the mandated recording and reporting of Adverse Events Reports as an admission

- 52 -

of causation, association, or any other relationship.

Amylin further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, and/or joint defense privilege, and confidential proprietary and/or trade secret information.

Amylin further Objects to this Request to the extent it purports to require the production of any electronically stored information a different manner or format than called for in the parties' agreed upon specifications.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to documents previously produced in this litigation, including without limitation the Byetta® IND/NDA, including correspondence with the FDA, Periodic Safety Update Reports ("PSURs") and Periodic Adverse Drug Experience Reports ("PADERs") (Bates numbers BY00000001-BY00449028); AERs produced on November 18, 2013 (Bates numbers AMYLN03827490-AMYLN03829802); MedWatch forms and database reports produced on December 23, 2013 (Bates numbers AMYLN03829803 through AMYLN03838851); and the custodial files previously produced by Amylin on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013. A spreadsheet identifying the Bates numbers associated with a given custodian in Amylin's prior productions is attached as Exhibit D.

Amylin further responds that it is concurrently producing an updated IND/NDA in the format agreed to by the Parties and files for custodians as negotiated with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs.

Amylin's concurrent and forthcoming productions additionally include MedWatch forms (redacted as required by federal law) and native XML data

1	(excluding fields that contain information that is or may be prohibited from	
2	disclosure under federal law) relating to exenatide adverse event reports for the	
3	following Medical Dictionary for Registered Activities ("MedDRA") terms:	
4	MedDRA Preferred Terms:	
5	Adenocarcinoma pancreas;	
6	Carcinoid tumour of the pancreas;	
7	Gamma radiation therapy to pancreas;	
8	Metastases to pancreas;	
9	Pancreatectomy;	
10	Pancreatic carcinoma;	
11	Pancreatic carcinoma metastatic;	
12	Pancreatic carcinoma non-resectable;	
13	Pancreatic carcinoma recurrent;	
14	Pancreatic carcinoma resectable;	
15	Pancreatic carcinoma stage 0;	
16	Pancreatic carcinoma stage I;	
17	Pancreatic carcinoma stage II;	
18	Pancreatic carcinoma stage III;	
19	Pancreatic carcinoma stage IV;	
20	Pancreatic lesion excision;	
21	Pancreatic mass;	
22	Pancreatic neoplasm;	
23	Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumour;	
24	Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumour metastatic;	
25	Pancreatic sarcoma;	
26	Pancreaticoduodenectomy;	
27	Pancreaticosplenectomy;	
28	Photon radiation therapy to pancreas:	

- 54 -

- 2
- Solid pseudopapillary tumour of the pancreas;

The complete file that YOU established and maintain in response to each

individual pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer ADVERSE EVENT for BYETTA

or files containing source documentation related to ADVERSE EVENTS). This

request seeks the production of all DOCUMENTS and information contained or

discussed in the source files for each ADVERSE EVENT, which should contain

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as

duplicative of Request No. 39, including subpart 39(a). Amylin refers Plaintiffs to

its objections and response to Request No. 39, which are incorporated as if set forth

fully here. Amylin further objects to the production of source materials related to

individual adverse event reports as cumulative of the MedWatch forms and data

whatever likely benefit Plaintiffs might hope to gain from the production of these

Amylin is already producing and unduly burdensome, and on the grounds that

materials is substantially outweighed by the burden of production.

most or all of the DOCUMENTS and information described in the preceding

(commonly known as "source files," ADVERSE EVENT report files, backup files,

- 3
- X-ray therapy to pancreas
- 4 |
- HLGT = Exocrine Pancreas conditions.

45

REQUEST NO. 40:

request in subparts a-1.

REQUEST NO. 41:

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 40:

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

To the extent not already produced in response to the preceding requests, produce all DOCUMENTS for each pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer REPORTABLE EVENT for BYETTA, including the following:

a. All DOCUMENTS and information in YOUR possession regarding each REPORTABLE EVENT;

- 55 -

27

28

- b. Whether the REPORTABLE EVENT was in the form of a MedWatch Report, communication from a medical provider or consumer, an ADVERSE EVENT REPORT ("AER") or some other form;
- c. All attempts YOU made to communicate with anyone to gather further information regarding each REPORTABLE EVENT;
- d. All communications YOU made or received, including the substance of the communications, the identities of any persons YOU communicated with internally, and the identities of any persons YOU communicated with externally regarding each REPORTABLE EVENT:
- e. The nature and results of any investigations YOU conducted to determine the CAUSE of each REPORTABLE EVENT, and/or the basis of any decisions not to investigate;
- f. Any experts and/or consultants whom YOU contacted regarding any REPORTABLE EVENT;
- g. YOUR deliberations and decision-making processes used to determine whether each underlying ADVERSE EVENT was or was not a REPORTABLE EVENT;
- h. Any action YOU took as a result of each REPORTABLE EVENT;
- i. YOUR analysis and conclusions as to the nature, severity and frequency of each REPORTABLE EVENT;
- j. All REPORTABLE EVENT report forms, including supplemental reports and related information, that were submitted to the FDA for each REPORTABLE EVENT;
- k. The current status or final disposition of each REPORTABLE EVENT; and
- 1. Any reporting rates analysis and/or trending analysis done regarding each REPORTABLE EVENT.

- 56 -

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 41:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as duplicative of Requests Nos. 39 and 40. Amylin refers Plaintiffs to its objections and response to Request No. 39 and 40, which are incorporated as if set forth fully here.

REQUEST NO. 42:

All DOCUMENTS that state or discuss any request by the FDA that YOU conduct post-market surveillance of BYETTA with respect to pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer. Include in your response any correspondence, plans, reports, or other DOCUMENTS submitted by YOU to the FDA in response.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 42:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as duplicative of Requests Nos. 2, 3, 39, 40, and 41. Amylin further objects to this Request as overbroad and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible information to the extent it seeks information about post-market surveillance with respect to pancreatitis. The Court's March 25, 2014 order limited discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and *pancreatic cancer*." 3/25/2014 Order at 3:6-7 (emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to general causation concerning pancreatic cancer, and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis.

Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it seeks information other than scientific data regarding general causation. The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence* . . . with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added).

- 57 -

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to the documents previously produced in this litigation, including without limitation the Byetta® IND/NDA produced on December 12, 2012 (Bates numbers BY00000001-BY00449028), which includes PSURs, and the concurrently produced updated Byetta® IND/NDA. Amylin further responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for any additional responsive documents in its possession, custody or control. Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in that search that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs.

REQUEST NO. 43:

All charts, graphs, schematics, reports, memoranda and other similar DOCUMENTS analyzing, summarizing and/or reporting on pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer ADVERSE EVENTS for BYETTA, including all such DOCUMENTS that compare BYETTA to any other therapeutic agent(s) for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. To the extent that such DOCUMENTS were prepared in color, they should also be produced in color.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 43:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as duplicative of Requests Nos. 39, 40, and 41. Amylin further objects to this Request as not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome, and overbroad, and on the grounds that the likely benefit, if any, of the discovery is outweighed by the substantial burdens of production. Adverse event reports are used in the generation of scientific hypotheses, and cannot establish a causal association between a pharmaceutical or biologic agent and a condition, and are therefore of little or no value in this litigation.

Amylin further objects to this Request as overbroad and not reasonably

27

28

calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible information to the extent it seeks information about pancreatitis adverse events. The Court's March 25, 2014 order limited discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and *pancreatic cancer*." 3/25/2014 Order at 3:6-7 (emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to the general causation concerning pancreatic cancer, and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis adverse events.

Amylin further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks the production of information that would compromise the privacy of the patients, health care providers, reporters, or other persons identified in data concerning specific adverse events. Such information is absolutely protected by federal regulation, and Amylin is not at liberty to disclose it to Plaintiffs. See 21 C.F.R. § 20.63.

Amylin further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks the production of documents relating to reported events regarding non-plaintiffs because the burden and expense of production outweighs the likelihood those documents will lead to relevant evidence.

Amylin further objects to the extent the Request assumes or suggests that Amylin associated a given Adverse Event Report with Byetta®. Amylin's responsibility is to record and store Adverse Events Reports that are brought to its attention by reporters, who are in most instances not Amylin employees, under no obligation to provide Amylin accurate or complete information, and may lack sufficient information or qualification to associate an Adverse Event with a pharmaceutical or biological agent. Amylin objects to any Request that characterizes the mandated recording and reporting of Adverse Events Reports as an admission of causation, association, or any other relationship.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as AMYLIN'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS'

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	

follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to the documents previously produced in this litigation, including without limitation the Byetta® IND/NDA (Bates numbers BY00000001-BY00449028), which includes PSURs; and files of custodians involved in post-market surveillance. A spreadsheet identifying the Bates numbers associated with a given custodian is attached as Exhibit D.

Amylin further refers Plaintiffs to the document beginning at Bates number AMYLN03845763, produced on May 2, 2014.

Amylin further responds that it is concurrently producing an updated Byetta® IND/NDA and files for custodians as negotiated with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs.

REQUEST NO. 44:

All reports, memoranda and other DOCUMENTS that list and/or explain the criteria YOU use to determine whether any particular pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer ADVERSE EVENT is related to the patient's use of BYETTA.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 44:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin further objects to this Request as not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, unduly burdensome, and overbroad, and on the grounds that the likely benefit, if any, of the discovery is outweighed by the substantial burdens of production. Adverse event reports are used in the generation of scientific hypotheses, and cannot establish a causal association between a pharmaceutical or biologic agent and a condition, and are therefore of little or no value in this litigation.

Amylin further objects to this Request as overbroad and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible information to the extent it seeks

information about pancreatitis adverse events. The Court's March 25, 2014 order limited discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and *pancreatic cancer*." 3/25/2014 Order at 3:6-7 (emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to general causation concerning pancreatic cancer, and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis.

Amylin further objects to the extent the Request assumes or suggests that Amylin associated a given Adverse Event Report with Byetta®. Amylin's responsibility is to record and store Adverse Events Reports that are brought to its attention by reporters, who are in most instances not Amylin employees, under no obligation to provide Amylin accurate or complete information, and may lack sufficient information or qualification to associate an Adverse Event with a pharmaceutical or biological agent. Amylin objects to any Request that characterizes the mandated recording and reporting of Adverse Events Reports as an admission of causation, association, or any other relationship.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to documents previously produced in this litigation, including without limitation Bristol-Myers Squibb Global Pharmacovigilence and Epidemiology Standard Operating Procedures produced on February 7, 2014 (AMYLN03838852-AMYLN03839761); Bristol-Myers Squibb Global Pharmacovigilence and Epidemiology Training Materials produced on February 8, 2014 (AMYLN03839777-AMYLN03842921); historical Amylin pharmacovigilence Standard Operating Procedures produced on February 13, 2014 (AMYLN03842922-AMYLN03843892); Amylin's supplemental historical standard operating procedures produced on May 2, 2014 (AMYLN03844175-AMYLN03844331 and AMYLN03845162-AMYLN03845162-

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

25

26

27

28

AMYLN03845340); and Amylin's training materials produced on May 2, 2014 (AMYLN03844332-AMYLN03845161).

REQUEST NO. 45:

All medical and scientific literature that YOUR company has identified that relates to the association between BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor and pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 45:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it seeks documents publicly and equally available to Plaintiffs and on the grounds it seeks documents not within Amylin's custody and control.

Amylin further objects to the Request overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome to the extent that it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® and exenatide in litigation that, as it applies to Amylin, only concerns claims related to Byetta®. Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it seeks information about an association between Byetta® and pancreatitis; the Court's March 25, 2014 order limited discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and *pancreatic cancer*." 3/25/2014 Order at 3:6-7 (emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to general causation of pancreatic cancer, and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis.

Amylin further objects to the Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "that relates to the association."

Amylin further objects to the Request as premature on the grounds that it calls for expert opinion as to what "medical and scientific literature . . . relates to the association between BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

and pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer." Amylin will produce its experts' opinions in accordance with the schedule to be established by the Court.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Medical and scientific literature about Byetta® can be found in the Byetta® IND/NDA Amylin produced to Plaintiffs on December 12, 2012 (Bates numbers BY00000001-BY00449028) and in the supplemental Byetta® IND/NDA included in Amylin's concurrent production. Amylin also refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and custodial files in its upcoming production as negotiated with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Attached as Exhibit D is a spreadsheet listing the custodians whose files have previously been produced, the custodians' job titles, and the Bates numbers containing each custodian's files. Plaintiffs can identify documents responsive to this Request within these productions or from public sources as readily as Amylin could.

REQUEST NO. 46:

All reports, analyses, presentations, memoranda and other DOCUMENTS YOU are aware of that address, in whole or in part, whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 46:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it seeks documents publicly and equally available to Plaintiffs and on the grounds it seeks documents not within Amylin's custody and control. Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad, irrelevant and not reasonably calculated

to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence related to general causation to the extent it seeks "[a]ll reports, analyses, presentations, memoranda and other DOCUMENTS" without regard to whether the documents constitute scientific data or evidence. The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence* . . . with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added).

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome to the extent that it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® and exenatide in litigation that, as it applies to Amylin, only concerns claims related to Byetta®. Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it seeks information about a causal relationship between Byetta®, GLP-1 agonists and DPP-4 inhibitors and pancreatitis. The Court's March 25, 2014 order limited discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and *pancreatic cancer*." 3/25/2014 Order at 3:6-7 (emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to general causation of pancreatic cancer, and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis.

Amylin further objects to the Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "is capable of CAUSING."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Byetta® study reports can be found in the Byetta® IND/NDA Amylin produced to Plaintiffs on December 12, 2012 (Bates numbers BY00000001-BY00449028) and in the supplemental Byetta® IND/NDA included in Amylin's concurrent production. Amylin also refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and to the custodial files to be included in Amylin's forthcoming productions as negotiated

with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Attached as Exhibit D is a spreadsheet listing the custodians whose files have previously been produced, the custodians' job titles, and the Bates numbers containing each custodian's files. Plaintiffs can locate and identify documents responsive to this Request within these productions as readily as Amylin could. Amylin further refers Plaintiffs to the document beginning at Bates number AMYLN03845763, produced on May 2, 2014.

REQUEST NO. 47:

To the extent not already produced in response to the preceding requests, all published and unpublished medical and scientific literature, reports, analyses, presentations, memoranda and other DOCUMENTS YOU are aware of that address whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES the proliferation of abnormal or dysfunctional beta cells; the proliferation of abnormal or dysfunctional alpha cells; the expansion of pancreatic ductal glands in rats; the formation of dysplastic lesions and chronic pancreatitis in mice; increases in the weight and/or size of the exocrine pancreas; the inhibition of apoptosis of pancreatic ductal cells; and the inhibition of apoptosis of pancreatic islet cells.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 47:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome, including but not limited to its request for "all published and unpublished medical and scientific literature, reports, analyses, presentations, memoranda and other DOCUMENTS YOU are aware of" without limitation as to time or expertise. Amylin further objects to the Request on the grounds that it seeks documents publicly and equally available to Plaintiffs and on the grounds it seeks documents not within Amylin's custody and control.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome to the extent that it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® and exenatide in litigation that, as it applies to Amylin, only concerns claims related to Byetta®.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Byetta® study reports can be found in the Byetta® IND/NDA Amylin produced to Plaintiffs on December 12, 2012 (Bates numbers BY00000001-BY00449028) and in the supplemental Byetta® IND/NDA to be included in Amylin's forthcoming productions. Amylin also refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and to the custodial files to be included in Amylin's forthcoming productions as negotiated with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Attached as Exhibit D is a spreadsheet listing the custodians whose files have previously been produced, the custodians' job titles, and the Bates numbers containing each custodian's files. Plaintiffs can locate and identify documents responsive to this Request within these productions as readily as Amylin could.

REQUEST NO. 48:

To the extent not already produced in response to the preceding requests, all published and unpublished medical and scientific literature, reports, analyses, presentations, memoranda and other DOCUMENTS YOU are aware of that address the mechanism of action of BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 48:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as

AMYLIN'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS'

- 66 - GENERAL CAUSATION REQUESTS TO

PRODUCE

24

25

26

27

28

overbroad and unduly burdensome, including but not limited to its request for "all published and unpublished medical and scientific literature, reports, analyses, presentations, memoranda and other DOCUMENTS YOU are aware of" without limitation as to time or expertise. Amylin further objects to the Request on the grounds that it seeks documents publicly and equally available to Plaintiffs and on the grounds it seeks documents not within Amylin's custody and control.

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome to the extent that it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® and exenatide in litigation that, as it applies to Amylin, only concerns claims related to Byetta®.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Byetta® study reports can be found in the Byetta® IND/NDA Amylin produced to Plaintiffs on December 12, 2012 (Bates numbers BY00000001-BY00449028) and in the supplemental Byetta® IND/NDA included in Amylin's concurrent production. Amylin also refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and to the custodial files to be included in Amylin's forthcoming productions as negotiated with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Attached as Exhibit D is a spreadsheet listing the custodians whose files have previously been produced, the custodians' job titles, and the Bates numbers containing each custodian's files. Plaintiffs can locate and identify documents responsive to this Request within these productions as readily as Amylin could.

REQUEST NO. 49:

To the extent not already produced in response to the preceding requests, all

published and unpublished medical and scientific literature, reports, analyses, presentations, memoranda and other DOCUMENTS YOU are aware of that address the effect that BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor has on the pancreas.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 49:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome, including but not limited to its request for "all published and unpublished medical and scientific literature, reports, analyses, presentations, memoranda and other DOCUMENTS YOU are aware of" without limitation as to time or expertise. Amylin further objects to the Request on the grounds that it seeks documents publicly and equally available to Plaintiffs and on the grounds it seeks documents not within Amylin's custody and control.

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad, oppressive and unduly to the extent that it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® and exenatide in litigation that, as it applies to Amylin, only concerns claims related to Byetta®.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Byetta® study reports can be found in the Byetta® IND/NDA Amylin produced to Plaintiffs on December 12, 2012 (Bates numbers BY00000001-BY00449028) and in the supplemental Byetta® IND/NDA included in Amylin's concurrent productions. Amylin also refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and to the custodial files to be included in Amylin's forthcoming productions as negotiated with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Attached as Exhibit D is a spreadsheet listing the custodians whose files have previously been produced, the custodians' job titles, and the Bates numbers

containing each custodian's files. Plaintiffs can locate and identify documents responsive to this Request within these productions as readily as Amylin could.

REQUEST NO. 50:

All reports, memoranda and other DOCUMENTS that list and/or explain the criteria YOU use to determine whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 50:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome on the grounds that it seeks "[a]ll reports, memoranda and other DOCUMENTS," without limitation, and it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® or exenatide in litigation that, as it applies to Amylin, concerns only claims related to Byetta®.

Amylin further objects to the Request as not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it seeks information about criteria used to determine "whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis." The Court's March 25, 2014 order limited discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and *pancreatic cancer*." 3/25/2014 Order at 3:6-7 (emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to general causation concerning pancreatic cancer, and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis.

Amylin further objects to the Request to the extent it suggests there is a causal connection between Byetta® and pancreatic cancer. Amylin further objects to the Request on the grounds that it calls for expert opinion. Amylin will disclose its experts' opinions in accordance with the schedule to be set by the Court.

PRODUCE

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to its prior productions, including without limitation the memorandum beginning at Bates number AMYLN03845763, and the custodial files produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013. Attached as Exhibit D is a spreadsheet listing the custodians whose files have previously been produced, the custodians' job titles, and the Bates numbers containing each custodian's files. Plaintiffs can locate and identify documents responsive to this Request within these productions as readily as Amylin could. Amylin further refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files to be included in Amylin's forthcoming productions as negotiated with Plaintiffs.

Amylin further responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for additional responsive documents in its possession, custody or control. Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in that search that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Amylin refers Plaintiffs to the document beginning at Bates number AMYLN03845763, produced on May 2, 2014.

REQUEST NO. 51:

All medical and/or scientific literature that YOU have reported to the FDA or any other regulatory authorities that relates to the association between BYETTA and pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer, including, but not limited to, all PSURs, PADERS/PAERS, and independent submissions.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 51:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request on the grounds that it seeks documents publicly and equally available to Plaintiffs and on the grounds it seeks documents not within Amylin's custody and control. Amylin further objects to the Request as vague and ambiguous, including without limitation its terms "that relates to the association between BYETTA and pancreatitis and/or

Amylin further objects to the Request as not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it seeks information about an association between Byetta® and pancreatitis; the Court's March 25, 2014 order limited discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and *pancreatic cancer*." 3/25/2014 Order at 3:6-7 (emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to general causation of pancreatic cancer, and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis.

Amylin further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks information related to Amylin's communications with regulatory agencies outside the United States, as all relevant events in this litigation occurred within the United States.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Medical and scientific literature about Byetta® can be found in the Byetta® IND/NDA Amylin produced to Plaintiffs on December 12, 2012 (Bates numbers BY00000001-BY00449028) and in the supplemental Byetta® IND/NDA included in Amylin's concurrent production. Amylin also refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and to the custodial files to be included in Amylin's forthcoming productions as negotiated with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Attached as Exhibit D is a spreadsheet listing the custodians whose files have previously been produced, the custodians' job titles, and the Bates numbers containing each custodian's files. Plaintiffs can identify documents responsive to this Request within these

- 71 -

productions as readily as Amylin could.

Amylin further refers Plaintiffs to EMA files to be included in Amylin's and Lilly's forthcoming productions. Amylin agrees to produce the EMA files under the unique and specific facts of this case – namely, that Amylin has noted the EMA's July 2013 conclusion that Byetta® and other incretin-based therapies do not cause pancreatic cancer, as well as the February 2014 statement jointly authored by the EMA and the FDA that rejects the hypothesized associations between pancreatic cancer and Byetta® and other incretin therapies that underlie Plaintiffs' claims. Amylin continues to maintain that regulatory filings with foreign agencies are irrelevant to products liability actions in the United States and generally should not be produced in such litigation.

REQUEST NO. 52:

To the extent not already produced in response to the preceding requests, produce all communications, analyses, expert analyses, safety board analyses, independent analyses, and/or meta-analyses that pertain to, reference, or in any way discuss any of the medical and scientific literature and/or the preclinical, nonclinical, animal, human, observational and/or other studies referred to above with respect to whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 52:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as duplicative of Requests Nos. 45, 46 and 49. Amylin refers Plaintiffs to its objections and responses to Requests No. 45, 46 and 49, which are incorporated by reference as if set forth fully here.

REQUEST NO. 53:

All communications YOU have had with the author(s) of the medical and/or scientific literature referenced above with respect to whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING

- 72 -

pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 53:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it seeks information other than scientific evidence. The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence* . . . with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added). The relevance or competence of evidence on general causation is not dependent on the persons to whom it was communicated. To the extent this Request seeks evidence that is relevant to general causation, it is duplicative of Plaintiffs' other requests that seek such evidence more directly.

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® or exenatide in litigation that, as it applies to Amylin, concerns only claims related to Byetta®.

Amylin further objects to the Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "is capable of CAUSING."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and to the custodial files to be included in Amylin's forthcoming production as negotiated with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce any nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Attached as Exhibit D is a spreadsheet listing the custodians whose files have previously been produced, the custodians' job titles, and the Bates numbers containing each custodian's files. Plaintiffs can locate and identify documents

PRODUCE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

28

responsive to this Request within these productions as readily as Amylin could.

REQUEST NO. 54:

All emails, letters, reports, memoranda and other written communications YOU have had internally regarding whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 54:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad, irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it seeks communications other than scientific data. The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence* . . . with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added).

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® or exenatide in litigation that, as it applies to Amylin, concerns only claims related to Byetta®.

Amylin further objects to the Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "is capable of CAUSING."

Amylin further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents and information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or attorney work product doctrine.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and to the custodial files to be included in Amylin's forthcoming production as negotiated with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and

2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Plaintiffs. Attached as Exhibit D is a spreadsheet listing the custodians whose files have previously been produced, the custodians' job titles, and the Bates numbers containing each custodian's files. Plaintiffs can locate and identify documents responsive to this Interrogatory within these productions as readily as Amylin could.

REQUEST NO. 55:

If YOU have made and/or requested label changes in the United States or elsewhere to add or strengthen warnings about the risks of pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer associated with BYETTA at any time since YOU began to market BYETTA, provide all DOCUMENTS, including emails, letters, reports, memoranda and other written communications, that YOU have sent to or received from the FDA and/or any applicable foreign country's regulatory authority in connection with each label change and/or request. This request to produce includes, without limitation, any PAS or CBE submitted by YOU to the FDA, and any response YOU have received from the FDA.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 55:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in that information and communications about label changes do not constitute data relevant to general causation. The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence*... with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added). Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it seeks information about label changes pertaining to pancreatitis. The Court's March 25, 2014 order limited discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and *pancreatic cancer*." *Id.* at 3:6-7 (emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as

part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to general causation concerning pancreatic cancer, and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis.

Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it seeks information about communications with regulatory agencies outside the United States as all relevant events in these cases occurred in the United States.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin has not made and/or requested label changes in the United States to add or strengthen warnings about the risks of pancreatic cancer associated with Byetta® at any time since it began to market Byetta®.

REQUEST NO. 56:

All emails, letters, reports, memoranda and other written communications to or from any source discussing or referring to physician monitoring and/or testing for pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer associated with the use of BYETTA.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 56:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects this Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome, and on the grounds that the burden of production far outweigh the likely benefit of the production. Amylin further objects to this Request as not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent the sought after communications about physician monitoring and testing do not constitute scientific data. The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence* . . . with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added). Amylin further objects to the Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "physician monitoring."

Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it seeks

PRODUCE

information about "physician monitoring and/or testing for pancreatitis." The Court's March 25, 2014 order limited discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and *pancreatic cancer*." *Id.* at 3:6-7 (emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to general causation concerning pancreatic cancer, and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis.

Amylin further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents not within Amylin's possession, custody or control.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and to the custodial files to be included in Amylin's forthcoming productions as negotiated with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Attached as Exhibit D is a spreadsheet listing the custodians whose files have previously been produced, the custodians' job titles, and the Bates numbers containing each custodian's files. Plaintiffs can locate and identify documents responsive to this Request within these productions as readily as Amylin could.

REQUEST NO. 57:

The meeting minutes and any summaries of meeting minutes for each internal meeting at which YOU discussed whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 57:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as duplicative of Request No. 46. Amylin refers Plaintiffs to its objections and responses to Request No. 46 which are incorporated by reference as if set forth fully here.

REQUEST NO. 58:

All notes, recordings, handouts, materials and presentations YOU or YOUR employees are aware of that were made or obtained in connection with any meeting, conference or other event, internal or external, at which the subject of whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer was discussed.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 58:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as duplicative of Request No. 46. Amylin refers Plaintiffs to its objections and responses to Request No. 46 which are incorporated by reference as if set forth fully here.

REQUEST NO. 59:

If the sale of BYETTA has ever been prohibited due to concerns that it may CAUSE pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer, produce all emails, letters, reports, memoranda and other written communications received by YOU addressing or discussing those concerns, and all emails, letters, reports, memoranda and other written communications prepared by YOU (whether sent or not sent) addressing or discussing those concerns.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 59:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it seeks information other than scientific data. The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific*

- 78 -

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

evidence . . . with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added). Amylin further objects to the Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information about the sale of Byetta® outside the United States as all relevant events in these cases occurred in the United States. Amylin further objects to the Request as vague and ambiguous, including without limitation its term "concerns."

Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it seeks information about "concerns that [Byetta®] may CAUSE pancreatitis." The Court's March 25, 2014 order limited discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and *pancreatic cancer*." *Id.* at 3:6-7 (emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to general causation concerning pancreatic cancer, and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: The sale of Byetta® has never been prohibited in the United States due to concerns that it may cause pancreatic cancer.

REQUEST NO. 60:

If any of YOUR employees, officers, directors, agents, contractors, key opinion leaders, members of speakers' bureaus, advisory board members, or scientific advisors have corresponded with or supplied information or data to any scientific journal regarding whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer, produce the correspondence, information and/or data.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 61:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome, and as seeking information outside

Amylin's custody and control, insofar as it seeks information about communications by Amylin's "agents, contractors, key opinion leaders, members of speakers' bureaus, advisory board members, and scientific advisors" as these persons are not Amylin employees, officers or directors, and to the extent the Request seeks information about communications by any persons not made in the course of their employment by or affiliation with Amylin.

Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence as the relevance or competence of evidence on general causation is not dependent on the entity or person to whom it was communicated. To the extent this Request seeks evidence that is relevant to general causation, it is duplicative of Plaintiffs' other requests that seek such evidence more directly.

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® or exenatide in litigation that, as it applies to Amylin, concerns only claims related to Byetta®. Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to the lead to the discovery of admissible evidence insofar as it seeks information about "whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis"; the Court's March 25, 2014 order limited discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and *pancreatic cancer*." 3/25/2014 Order at 3:6-7 (emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to general causation concerning pancreatic cancer, and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis.

Amylin further objects to the Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "is capable of CAUSING."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as AMYLIN'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS'

follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and to the custodial files to be included in Amylin's forthcoming productions as negotiated with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Attached as Exhibit D is a spreadsheet listing the custodians whose files have previously been produced and the Bates numbers containing each custodian's files. Plaintiffs can locate and identify documents responsive to this Request within these productions as readily as Amylin can.

REQUEST NO. 61:

If any of YOUR employees, officers, directors, agents, contractors, key opinion leaders, members of speakers' bureaus, advisory board members, or scientific advisors have submitted a manuscript, case report, article described as an "advertisement," opinion piece or topic to any scientific journal regarding whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer, produce the material submitted.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 61:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome, and as seeking information outside Amylin's custody and control, insofar as it seeks information about submissions by Amylin's "agents, contractors, key opinion leaders, members of speakers' bureaus, advisory board members, and scientific advisors" as these persons are not Amylin employees, officers or directors, and to the extent the Request seeks information about submissions by any persons not made in the course of their employment by or affiliation with Amylin.

Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence as the relevance or competence of evidence on general causation is not dependent on the entity to which it was communicated. To the extent this Request seeks evidence that is relevant to general causation, it is duplicative of Plaintiffs' other requests that seek such evidence more directly.

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome to the extent that it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® or exenatide in litigation that, as it applies to Amylin, concerns only claims related to Byetta®. Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to the lead to the discovery of admissible evidence insofar as it seeks information about submissions "regarding whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis." The Court's March 25, 2014 order limited discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and *pancreatic cancer*." 3/25/2014 Order at 3:6-7 (emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to general causation concerning pancreatic cancer and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis.

Amylin further objects to the Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "is capable of CAUSING."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and to the custodial files to be included in Amylin's forthcoming productions as negotiated with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches

and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Attached as Exhibit D is a spreadsheet listing the custodians whose files have previously been produced, the custodians' job titles, and the Bates numbers containing each custodian's files. Plaintiffs can locate and identify documents responsive to this Interrogatory within these productions as readily as Amylin can.

REQUEST NO. 62:

If any of YOUR employees, officers, directors, agents, contractors, key opinion leaders, members of speakers' bureaus, advisory board members, or scientific advisors have participated in or supplied information or data to any expert meeting, panel or committee investigating or reviewing whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer, produce the correspondence, data and other DOCUMENTS supplied to, received from, or created by such meeting(s), panel(s) or committee proceedings.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO 62:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome, and as seeking information outside Amylin's custody and control, insofar as it seeks information about actions and documents by Amylin's "agents, contractors, key opinion leaders, members of speakers' bureaus, advisory board members, and scientific advisors" as these persons are not Amylin employees, officers or directors, and to the extent the Interrogatory seeks information about actions and documents by any persons not made in the course of their employment by or affiliation with Amylin.

Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence as the relevance or competence of evidence on general causation is not dependent on the entity to which it was communicated. To the extent this Request seeks evidence that is

relevant to general causation, it is duplicative of Plaintiffs' other requests that seek such evidence more directly.

Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome to the extent that it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® or exenatide in litigation that, as it applies to Amylin, concerns only claims related to Byetta®. Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to the lead to the discovery of admissible evidence insofar as it seeks information about participation in and submissions to expert meetings, panels and committees "investigating or reviewing whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis." The Court's March 25, 2014 order limited discovery in this phase to "general causation on the link between the Defendants' pharmaceuticals and *pancreatic cancer*." 3/25/2014 Order at 3:6-7 (emphasis added). Amylin will only produce documents related to pancreatitis as part of its attempt to make a comprehensive production of information relating to general causation concerning pancreatic cancer, and specifically objects to any obligation to separately search for or produce information about pancreatitis.

Amylin further objects to the Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "is capable of CAUSING."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and to the custodial files to be included in Amylin's forthcoming productions as negotiated with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Attached as Exhibit D is a spreadsheet listing the custodians whose files

	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
	7

1

2

3

have previously been produced, the custodians' job titles, and the Bates numbers containing each custodian's files. Plaintiffs can locate and identify documents responsive to this Interrogatory within these productions as readily as Amylin can.

REQUEST NO. 63:

If any of YOUR employees, officers, directors, agents, contractors, key opinion leaders, members of speakers' bureaus, advisory board members, or scientific advisors corresponded with or supplied information or data to any authors, medical journals, scientific journals, any other publications, any diabetes research or research-funding organizations or persons affiliated with them, any scientific advisors, or any consultants about Dr. Susan Bonner-Weir, Dr. Alexandra E. Butler, Dr. Peter C. Butler, Dr. David D. Dore, Dr. Daniel J. Drucker, Dr. Michael Elashoff, Dr. Robert Elashoff, Dr. Edwin Gale, Dr. Rajesh Garg, Dr. Belinda Gier, Dr. Fred Gorlick, Dr. Steven Kahn, Dr. Jacqueline Koehler, Dr. Aleksey V. Matveyenko, Dr. Robert Ratner, Dr. Sonal Singh, or Dr. Jay S. Skyler, and/or about any of the work they have done or authored regarding incretin medications, produce the correspondence, information and/or data.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 63:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome, and as seeking information outside Amylin's custody and control, insofar as it seeks information about communications by Amylin's "agents, contractors, key opinion leaders, members of speakers' bureaus, advisory board members, and scientific advisors" as these persons are not Amylin employees, officers or directors, and to the extent the Interrogatory seeks information about communications by any persons not made in the course of their employment by or affiliation with Amylin.

Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence as the relevance or competence of evidence on general causation is not dependent on the persons or

entities to which it was communicated. To the extent this Request seeks evidence that is relevant to general causation, it is duplicative of Plaintiffs' other requests that seek such evidence more directly.

Amylin further objects to the Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "any of the work they have done or authored regarding incretin medications." Amylin further objects to the Interrogatory as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® or exenatide in litigation that, as it applies to Amylin, concerns only claims related to Byetta®. Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to the lead to the discovery of admissible evidence insofar as it seeks communications about "incretin medications" on topics other than the general causation question at issue in this litigation. The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence*... with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added).

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and to the custodial files to be included in Amylin's forthcoming productions as negotiated with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Attached as Exhibit D is a spreadsheet listing the custodians whose files have previously been produced, the custodians' job titles, and the Bates numbers containing each custodian's files. Plaintiffs can locate and identify documents responsive to this Interrogatory within these productions as readily as Amylin can.

REQUEST NO. 64:

To the extent not already produced in response to the preceding requests, all emails, letters, reports, memoranda and other written communications with authors, medical journals, scientific journals, any other publications, any diabetes research or research-funding organizations or persons affiliated with them, any scientific advisors, or any consultants about whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 64:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as overbroad, oppressive and unduly burdensome, and as seeking information outside Amylin's custody and control as it seeks "all emails, letters, reports, memoranda and other written communications" regardless of who wrote or communicated them. Amylin further objects to the Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it seeks information other than scientific data. The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence* . . . with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23 (emphasis added). To the extent this Request seeks evidence that is relevant to general causation, it is duplicative of Plaintiffs' other requests that seek such evidence more directly.

Amylin further objects to the Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "research-funding organizations or persons affiliated with them." Amylin further objects to the Interrogatory as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent that it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® or exenatide in litigation that, as it applies to Amylin, concerns only claims related to Byetta®.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds as follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to the custodial files produced to Plaintiffs on December 21, 2012, March 8, 2013, and October 4, 2013, and to the custodial files

to be included in Amylin's forthcoming productions as negotiated with Plaintiffs. Following a reasonable search, Amylin will produce nonprivileged documents found in the custodial files that are responsive to this Request. All such searches and subsequent productions will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable orders in this case, and any agreements between Amylin and Plaintiffs. Attached as Exhibit D__ is a spreadsheet listing the custodians whose files have previously been produced, the custodians' job titles, and the Bates numbers containing each custodian's files. Plaintiffs can locate and identify documents responsive to this Interrogatory within these productions as readily as Amylin can.

REQUEST NO. 65:

All DOCUMENTS that constitute or discuss compensation, honoraria,

All DOCUMENTS that constitute or discuss compensation, honoraria, grants, scholarships or gifts, whether offered or actually paid, to individuals or institutions for work (including, without limitation, work done on preclinical studies, nonclinical studies, animal studies, human studies, other research, or the authorship of articles) concerning whether BYETTA or any other GLP-1 agonist or DPP-4 inhibitor CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer. Include in YOUR response, without limitation, all such DOCUMENTS pertaining to Dr. Susan Bonner-Weir, Dr. David D. Dore, Dr. Daniel J. Drucker, Dr. Rajesh Garg, Dr. Fred Gorlick, Dr. Steven Kahn, Dr. Jacqueline Koehler, Dr. Robert Ratner, Dr. Jay S. Skyler, and/or the companies and/or organizations that employ them.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 65:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to the lead to the discovery of admissible evidence as it seeks information other than scientific data. The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence*... with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order at 2:20-23

(emphasis added). The financial information sought by this Request has no tendency to prove whether Byetta® causes pancreatic cancer.

Amylin further objects to the Request as vague and ambiguous, including but not limited to its term "research-funding organizations or persons affiliated with them." Amylin further objects to the Interrogatory as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it seeks information about drugs other than Byetta® or exenatide in litigation that, as it applies to Amylin, concerns only claims related to Byetta®.

REQUEST NO. 66:

All of YOUR DOCUMENT retention, destruction and archiving policies that apply to BYETTA preclinical, nonclinical, animal, human and/or observational studies; other studies addressing, in whole or in part, whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer; BYETTA ADVERSE EVENTS; and any other DOCUMENTS addressing whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of CAUSING pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 66:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Amylin objects to this Request as not reasonably calculated to lead to admissible evidence as "retention, destruction and archiving policies" are not scientific evidence relevant to general causation. The Court's March 25, 2014 order provides that "permitted discovery includes *actual scientific evidence* . . . with regard to the causal link in dispute in this case." 3/25/2014 Order (Doc. No. 377) at 2:20-23 (emphasis added).

Amylin further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents and information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or attorney work product doctrine.

Amylin further objects to this Request as overbroad and vague, including without limitation its terms "retention, destruction and archiving policies," "studies addressing, in whole or in part, whether BYETTA CAUSES and/or is capable of

1	CAUSING pancreatitis and/or pancreatic cancer," and "is capable of CAUSING."		
2	Amylin further objects to the Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome and		
3	oppressive to the extent it seeks documents that are not reasonably accessible.		
4	Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Amylin responds a		
5	follows: Amylin refers Plaintiffs to documents in its prior productions, including		
6	but not limited to documents at Bates numbers AMYLN0001959059-		
7	AMYLN0001959064. Amylin objects to undertaking any further effort to locate of		
8	produce documents responsive to this request, especially during the general		
9	causation phase of discovery.		
10	REQUEST NO. 67:		
11	To the extent that YOU have withheld any DOCUMENTS responsive to any		
12	of these requests under any claim of privilege, produce a privilege log as required		
13	by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.		
14	RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 67:		
15	To the extent Amylin withholds documents under any claim of privilege, it		
16	will produce a privilege log as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26.		
17			
18			
19	Data I. Mari O 2014 O'MELVENIV (MVEDCLI D		
20	Dated: May 9, 2014 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP RICHARD B. GOETZ AMY LLA UPENDEA LI		
21	AMY J. LAURENDEAU		
22	By: /s/ Amy J. Laurendeau Amy J. Laurendeau		
23	Attorneys for Defendant Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	PROOF OF SERVICE I	BY EMAIL	
2			
3	I certify under penalty of perjury that on May 9, 2014, I caused the following		
4	documents		
5	5 Defendant Amylin Pharmaceur	ticals, LLC's Responses and	
6	 Defendant Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC's Responses an Objections to Plaintiffs' General Causation Requests for the Production of Documents; 		
7	• Exhibits A-D to Defendant Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC		
8	Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' General Causati Requests for the Production of Documents		
9		f record for the Plaintiffs' Steering	
10	to be served by email on the following counsel of record for the Plaintiffs' Steering		
11	Committee:		
12	2		
13		r J. Shkolnik i, Bern, Ripka & Shkolnik LLP	
14	33 S. 6th Street, Suite 4530 350 Fi	350 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10018	
15	Tryan E. Thompson	. Hoerman erman Law LLC	
16	6 5250 Prue Road, Suite 525 101 W	V. Vandalia Street, Suite 350 rdsville, IL 62025	
17	7 San Antonio, 17 78240 Edwar	dsvine, il 02025	
18	Executed on May 9, 2014 at Newport Beach, California.		
19	9		
20	0	/s/Amy J. Laurendeau	
21	1	Amy J. Laurendeau	
22	2		
23	3		
24	4		
25	5		
26	6		
27	7		
28	8		